

Remarks on zeta functions and K-theory over \mathbb{F}_1

Anton Deitmar

Abstract: We show that the notion of zeta functions over the field of one element \mathbb{F}_1 , as given in special cases by Soulé, extends naturally to all \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes as defined by the author in an earlier paper. We further give two constructions of K-theory for affine schemes or \mathbb{F}_1 -rings, we show that these coincide in the group case, but not in general.

Contents

1 \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes

2 Proof of Theorem 1

3 K-theory

3.1 The $+$ -construction

3.2 The Q-construction

One computes that if $N(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$, then

$$\zeta_{X|\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = s^{a_0}(s-1)^{a_1} \cdots (s-n)^{a_n}.$$

In the paper [1] there is given a definition of a scheme over \mathbb{F}_1 as well as an ascent functor $\cdot \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ from \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes to \mathbb{Z} -schemes. We say that a \mathbb{Z} -scheme is *defined over* \mathbb{F}_1 , if it comes by ascent from a scheme over \mathbb{F}_1 . The natural question arising is whether schemes defined over \mathbb{F}_1 satisfy Soulé's condition.

Simple examples show that this is not the case. However, schemes defined over \mathbb{F}_1 satisfy a slightly weaker condition which serves the purpose of defining \mathbb{F}_1 -zeta functions as well, and which we give in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a \mathbb{Z} -scheme defined over \mathbb{F}_1 . Then there exists a natural number e and a polynomial $N(x)$ with integer coefficients such that for every prime power q one has*

$$(q-1, e) = 1 \Rightarrow \#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = N(q).$$

This condition determines the polynomial N uniquely (independent of the choice of e). We call it the zeta-polynomial of X .

With this theorem, we can define the zeta function of an arbitrary \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme X as

$$\zeta_{X|\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = s^{a_0}(s-1)^{a_1} \cdots (s-n)^{a_n},$$

if $N_X(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ is its zeta-polynomial.

We also define its *Euler characteristic* as

$$\chi(X) = N_X(1) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n.$$

Introduction

Soulé [10], inspired by Manin [7], gave a definition of zeta functions over the field of one element \mathbb{F}_1 . We describe this definition as follows. Let X be a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . For a prime number p one sets after Weil,

$$Z_X(p, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{n} \#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \right),$$

where \mathbb{F}_{p^n} denotes the field of p^n elements. This is the local zeta function over p , and the global zeta function of X is given as

$$\zeta_{X|\mathbb{Z}}(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_p Z_X(p, p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Soulé considered in [10] the following condition: Suppose there exists a polynomial $N(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = N(p^n)$ for every prime p and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $Z_X(p, p^{-s})^{-1}$ is a rational function in p and p^{-s} . The vanishing order at $p = 1$ is $N(1)$. One may thus define

$$\zeta_{X|\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \frac{Z_X(p, p^{-s})^{-1}}{(p-1)^{N(1)}}.$$

This definition is due to Soulé [10]. We repeat the justification, which is based on the Weil conjectures.

Suppose that $X/\mathbb{F}_p = X_{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is a smooth projective variety over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p . Then the Weil conjectures, as proven by Deligne, say that

$$Z_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(p, T) = \prod_{l=0}^m P_l(T)^{(-1)^{l+1}},$$

with

$$P_l(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{b_l} (1 - \alpha_{l,j} T),$$

satisfying $|\alpha_{l,j}| = p^{l/2}$, where b_l is the l -th Betti-number.

On the other hand, suppose that $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = N(p^n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ is the zeta-polynomial, then one gets

$$Z_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(p, T) = \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - p^k T)^{-a_k}.$$

Comparing these two expressions, one gets

$$b_l = \begin{cases} a_{l/2} & l \text{ even,} \\ 0 & l \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

So $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k = \sum_{l=0}^m (-1)^l b_l$ is the Euler characteristic.

For explicit computations of zeta functions and Euler numbers over \mathbb{F}_1 , see [6].

Next for K-theory. Based on the idea of Tits, that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_1)$ should be the permutation group $\mathrm{Per}(n)$, Soulé also suggested that

$$K_i(\mathbb{F}_1) = \pi_i(B(\mathrm{Per}(\infty))^+),$$

which is known to coincide with the stable homotopy group of the spheres, $\pi_i^s = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{i+k}(S^k)$. (The $+$ refers to Quillen's $+$ construction.) More general, for a monoid A , or an \mathbb{F}_1 -ring \mathbb{F}_A , one has

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_A) = A^n \rtimes \mathrm{Per}(n).$$

Setting $\mathrm{GL}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$, one lets

$$K_i^+(A) = \pi_i(B\mathrm{GL}(A)^+).$$

On the other hand, one considers the category \mathcal{P} of all finitely generated projective modules over A and defines

$$K_i^Q(A) = \pi_{i+1}(BQ\mathcal{P}),$$

where Q means Quillen's Q -construction. It turns out that $\pi_1(BQ\mathcal{P})$ coincides with the Grothendieck

group $K_0(\mathcal{P})$ of \mathcal{P} . If A is a group, these two definitions of K-theory agree, but not in general.

A calculation shows, that if A is an abelian group, then

$$K_i(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \times A & i = 0, \\ \pi_i^s & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

So, for general A , since one has $K^+(A) = K^+(A^\times)$, this identity completely computes K^+ . Furthermore, for every A one has a canonical homomorphism $K_i^+(A) \rightarrow K_i^Q(A)$.

I thank Jeff Lagarias for his remarks on an earlier version of this paper.

1 \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes

For basics on \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes we refer to [1].

In this paper, a ring will always be commutative with unit and a monoid will always be commutative. An *ideal* \mathfrak{a} of a monoid A is a subset with $A\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}$. A *prime ideal* is an ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $S_{\mathfrak{p}} = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is a submonoid of A . For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}A$ be the *localisation* at \mathfrak{p} . The *spectrum* of a monoid A is the set of all prime ideals with the obvious Zariski-topology (see [1]). Similar to the theory of rings, one defines a structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X on $X = \mathrm{spec}(A)$, and one defines a *scheme over \mathbb{F}_1* to be a topological space together with a sheaf of monoids, locally isomorphic to spectra of monoids.

A \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme X is of *finite type*, if it has a finite covering by affine schemes $U_i = \mathrm{spec}(A_i)$ such that each A_i is finitely generated.

For a monoid A we let $A \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ be the monoidal ring $\mathbb{Z}[A]$. This defines a functor from monoids to rings which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor that sends a ring R to the multiplicative monoid (R, \times) . This construction is compatible with gluing, so one gets a functor $X \mapsto X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes to \mathbb{Z} -schemes.

Lemma 2 *X is of finite type if and only if $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -scheme of finite type.*

Proof: If X is of finite type, it is covered by finitely many affines $\mathrm{spec}(A_i)$, where A_i is finitely generated, hence $\mathbb{Z}[A_i]$ is finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -algebra and so it follows that $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is of finite type.

Now suppose that $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is of finite type. Consider a covering of X by open sets of the form $U_i = \mathrm{spec}(A_i)$. then one gets an open covering of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by sets of the form $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{Z}[A_i])$, with the spectrum in the ring-sense. Since $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact, we may assume this covering finite. As $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is of finite type, each $\mathbb{Z}[A_i]$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra. Let S be a generating set of A_i . Then it generates $\mathbb{Z}[A_i]$,

and hence it contains a finite generating set T of $\mathbb{Z}[A_i]$. Then T also generates A_i as a monoid, so A_i is finitely generated. \square

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We will show uniqueness first.

Lemma 3 *For every natural number e there are infinitely many prime powers q with $(q - 1, e) = 1$.*

Proof: Write $e = 2^k m$ where m is odd. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The number 2^n is a unit modulo m and hence there are infinitely many n such that $2^n \equiv 1$ modulo m . Replacing n by $n + 1$ we see that there are infinitely many n such that $2^n \equiv 2$ modulo m and hence $2^n - 1 \equiv 1$ modulo m . As $2^n - 1$ is odd, it follows $(2^n - 1, e) = 1$ for every such n . \square

Now for the uniqueness of N . Suppose that the pairs (e, N) and (e', N') both satisfy the theorem. Then for every prime power q one has

$$(q - 1, ee') = 1 \Rightarrow N(q) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = N'(q).$$

As there are infinitely many such prime powers q , it follows that $N(x) = N'(x)$, as claimed.

We start on the existence of N . For a finite abelian group E define its *exponent* $m = \exp(E)$ to be the smallest number m such that $x^m = 1$ for every $x \in G$. The exponent is the least common multiple of the orders of elements of G . A finitely generated abelian group G is of the form $\mathbb{Z}^r \times E$ for a finite group E . Then r is called the *rank* of G and the exponent of E is called the *exponent* of G .

For a finitely generated monoid A we denote by $\text{Quot}(A)$ its quotient group. This group comes about by inverting every element in A . It has a natural morphism $A \rightarrow \text{Quot}(A)$ and the universal property that every morphism from A to a group factorizes uniquely over $A \rightarrow \text{Quot}(A)$. In the language of [1], $\text{Quot}(A)$ coincides with the stalk $\mathcal{O}_\eta = A_\eta$ at the generic point η of $\text{spec}(A)$.

We define the *rank* and *exponent* to be the rank and exponent of $\text{Quot}(A)$. Note that for a finitely generated monoid A the spectrum $\text{spec}(A)$ is a finite set. Hence the underlying space of a scheme X over \mathbb{F}_1 of finite type is a finite set. We then define the *exponent* of X to be the least common multiple of the numbers $\exp(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$, where \mathfrak{p} runs through the finite set X .

Let X be a scheme over \mathbb{F}_1 of finite type. We may assume that X is connected. Let e be its exponent. Let q be a prime power and let D_q be the monoid (\mathbb{F}_q, \times) . Then $\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#X(D_q)$, where $X(D) = \text{Hom}(D, X)$ as usual. For an integer

$k \geq 2$ let C_{k-1} denote the cyclic group of $k - 1$ elements and let D_k be the monoid $C_{k-1} \cup \{0\}$, where $x \cdot 0 = 0$. Note that if q is a prime power, then $D_q \cong (\mathbb{F}_q, \times)$, where \mathbb{F}_q is the field of q elements.

Fix a covering of X by affines $U_i = \text{spec} A_i$. Since $\text{spec}(D_k)$ consists of two points, the generic, which always maps to the generic point and the closed point, it follows that

$$X(\text{spec}(D_k)) = \bigcup_i U_i(\text{spec}(D_k)),$$

and thus the cardinality of the right hand side may be written as an alternating sum of terms of the form

$$\#U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_s}(\text{spec}(D_k)).$$

Now $U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_s}$ is itself a union of affines and so this term again becomes an alternating sum of similar terms. This process stops as X is a finite set. Therefore, to prove the theorem, it suffices to assume that X is affine.

So we assume that $X = \text{spec}(A)$ for a finitely generated monoid A . In this case $X(\text{spec}(D_k)) = \text{Hom}(A, D_k)$. For a given monoid morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow D_k$ we have that $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a prime ideal in A , call it \mathfrak{p} . Then φ maps $S_\mathfrak{p} = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ to the group C_{k-1} . So $\text{Hom}(A, D_k)$ may be identified with the disjoint union of the sets $\text{Hom}(S_\mathfrak{p}, C_{k-1})$ where \mathfrak{p} ranges over $\text{spec}(A)$. Now C_{k-1} is a group, so every homomorphism from $S_\mathfrak{p}$ to C_{k-1} factorises over the quotient group $\text{Quot}(S_\mathfrak{p})$ and one gets $\text{Hom}(S_\mathfrak{p}, C_{k-1}) = \text{Hom}(\text{Quot}(S_\mathfrak{p}), C_{k-1})$. Note that $\text{Quot}(S_\mathfrak{p})$ is the group of units in the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}}$ of the structure sheaf, therefore does not depend on the choice of the affine neighbourhood. The group $\text{Quot}(S_\mathfrak{p})$ is a finitely generated abelian group. Let r be its rank and e its exponent. If e is coprime to $k - 1$, then there is no non-trivial homomorphism from the torsion part of $\text{Quot}(S_\mathfrak{p})$ to C_{k-1} and so in that case $\#\text{Hom}(S_\mathfrak{p}, C_{k-1}) = (k - 1)^r$. This proves the existence of e and N and finishes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 1. We have indeed proven more than Theorem 1. For an \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme X of finite type we define $X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \text{Hom}(\text{spec}(\mathbb{F}_q), X)$, where the Hom takes place in the category of \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes, and \mathbb{F}_q stands for the multiplicative monoid of the finite field. It follows that

$$X(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Further, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one sets $\mathbb{F}_k = D_k$ then this notation is consistent and we have proven above,

$$(k - 1, e) = 1 \Rightarrow \#X(\mathbb{F}_k) = N(k),$$

where e now is a well defined number, the exponent of X . Further it follows from the proof, that

the degree of N is at most equal to the rank of X , which is defined as the maximum of the ranks of the local monoids \mathcal{O}_p , for $p \in X$.

Remark 2. As the proof of Theorem 1 shows, the zeta-polynomial N_X of X , does actually not depend on the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X , but on the subsheaf of units \mathcal{O}_X^\times , where for every open set U in X the set $\mathcal{O}_X^\times(U)$ is defined to be the set of sections $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that $s(p)$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^\times$ for every $p \in U$. We therefore call \mathcal{O}_X^\times the *zeta sheaf* of X .

3 K-theory

In this section we give two definitions of K-theory over \mathbb{F}_1 and we show that they do coincide for groups, but not in general. This approach follows Quillen [9].

3.1 The $+$ -construction

Let A be a monoid. Recall from [1] that $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ is the group of all $n \times n$ matrices with exactly one non-zero entry in each row and each column, and this entry being an element of the unit group A^\times . We also write A^\times as the stalk A_c at the closed point c of $\mathrm{spec}(A)$. In other words, we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \cong A_c^n \rtimes \mathrm{Per}(n),$$

where $\mathrm{Per}(n)$ is the permutation group in n letters, acting on A_c^n by permuting the co-ordinates.

There is a natural embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)$ by setting the last co-ordinate equal to 1. We define the group

$$\mathrm{GL}(A) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \varinjlim_n \mathrm{GL}_n(A).$$

Similar to the K-theory of rings [9] for $j \geq 0$ we define

$$K_j^+(A) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_j(\mathrm{BGL}(A)^+),$$

where $\mathrm{BGL}(A)$ is the classifying space of $\mathrm{GL}(A)$, the $+$ signifies the $+$ -construction, and π_j is the j -th homotopy group. For instance, $K_j^+(\mathbb{F}_1)$ is the j -th stable homotopy group of the spheres [8].

3.2 The \mathcal{Q} -construction

A category is called *balanced*, if every morphism which is epi and mono, already has an inverse, i.e., is an isomorphism.

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. An object $I \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *injective* if for every monomorphism $M \hookrightarrow N$ the induced map $\mathrm{Mor}(N, I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(M, I)$ is surjective.

Conversely, an object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *projective* if for every epimorphism $M \twoheadrightarrow N$ the induced map $\mathrm{Mor}(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(P, N)$ is surjective. We say that \mathcal{C} has *enough injectives* if for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a monomorphism $A \hookrightarrow I$, where I is an injective object. Likewise, we say that \mathcal{C} has *enough projectives* if for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an epimorphism $P \twoheadrightarrow A$ with P projective.

A category \mathcal{C} is *pointed* if it has an object 0 such that for every object X the sets $\mathrm{Mor}(X, 0)$ and $\mathrm{Mor}(0, X)$ have exactly one element each. The zero object is uniquely determined up to unique isomorphism. In every set $\mathrm{Mor}(X, Y)$ there exists a unique morphism which factorises over the zero object, this is called the zero morphism. In a pointed category it makes sense to speak of kernels and cokernels. Kernels are always mono and cokernels are always epimorphisms. A sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{j} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

is called *strong exact*, if i is the kernel of j and j is the cokernel of i . We say that the sequence *splits*, if it is isomorphic to the natural sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X \oplus Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume that kernels and cokernels always exist. Then every kernel is the kernel of its cokernel and every cokernel is the cokernel of its kernel. For a morphism f let $\mathrm{im}(f) = \ker(\mathrm{coker}(f))$ and $\mathrm{coim}(f) = \mathrm{coker}(\ker(f))$. If \mathcal{C} has enough projectives, then the canonical map $\mathrm{im}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{coim}(f)$ has zero kernel and if \mathcal{C} has enough injectives, then this map has zero cokernel.

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed category and \mathcal{E} a class of strong exact sequences. The class \mathcal{E} is called *closed under isomorphism*, or simply *closed* if every sequence isomorphic to one in \mathcal{E} , lies in \mathcal{E} . Every morphism occurring in a sequence in \mathcal{E} is called an \mathcal{E} -morphism.

A balanced pointed category \mathcal{C} , together with a closed class \mathcal{E} of strong exact sequences is called a *quasi-exact category* if

- for any two objects X, Y the natural sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X \oplus Y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

belongs to \mathcal{E} ,

- the class of \mathcal{E} -kernels is closed under composition and base-change by \mathcal{E} -cokernels, likewise, the class of \mathcal{E} -cokernels is closed under composition and base change by \mathcal{E} -kernels.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ be a quasi-exact category. We define the category \mathcal{QC} to have the same objects as \mathcal{C} , but a

morphism from X to Y in QC is an isomorphism class of diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \\ X, & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal map is a \mathcal{E} -kernel in \mathcal{C} and the vertical map is a \mathcal{E} -cokernel. The composition of two Q -morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \\ X, & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T & \hookrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \\ Y, & & \end{array}$$

is given by the base change $S \times_Y T$ as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S \times_Y T & \hookrightarrow & T & \hookrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ S & \hookrightarrow & Y & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ X. & & & & \end{array}$$

Every \mathcal{E} -kernel $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ gives rise to a morphism $i_!$ in QC , and every \mathcal{E} -cokernel $p: Z \twoheadrightarrow Z$ gives rise to a morphism $p^!: X \rightarrow Z$ in QC . By definition, every morphism in QC factorises as $i_! p^!$ uniquely up to isomorphism.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ be a small quasi-exact category. Then the classifying space BQC is defined. Note that for every object X in QC there is a morphism from 0 to X , so that BQC is path-connected. We consider the fundamental group $\pi_1(BQC)$ as based at a zero 0 of \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 4 *The fundamental group $\pi_1(BQC)$ is canonically isomorphic to the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{C}) = K_0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof: This proof is taken from [9], where it is done for exact categories, we repeat it for the convenience of the reader. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ is the abelian group with one generator $[X]$ for each object X of \mathcal{C} and a relation $[X] = [Y][Z]$ for every strong exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{E} . According to Proposition 1 of [9], it suffices to show that for a morphism-inverting functor $F: QC \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ the group $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ acts naturally

on $F(0)$ and that the resulting functor from the category \mathcal{F} of all such F to $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ -sets is an equivalence of categories.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}$ let i_X denote the zero kernel $0 \rightarrow X$, and let j_X be the zero cokernel $X \rightarrow 0$. Let \mathcal{F}' be the full subcategory of \mathcal{F} consisting of all F such that $F(X) = F(0)$ and $F(i_{X!}) = \text{id}_{F(0)}$ for every X . Any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to an object of \mathcal{F}' , so it suffices to show that \mathcal{F}' is equivalent to $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ -sets. So let $F \in \mathcal{F}'$, for a kernel $I: X \hookrightarrow Y$ we have $i_X = i_Y$, so that $F(i_!) = \text{id}_{F(0)}$. Given a strong exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{j} Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have $j^! i_Z = i_! j_X^!$, hence $F(j^!) = F(j_X^!) \in \text{Aut}(F(0))$. Also,

$$F(j_Y^!) = F(j^! j_Z^!) = F(j_X^!) F(j_Z^!).$$

So by the universal property of $K_0(\mathcal{C})$, there is a unique homomorphism from $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ to $\text{Aut}(F(0))$ such that $[X] \mapsto F(j_X^!)$. So we have a natural action of $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ on $F(0)$, hence a functor from \mathcal{F}' to $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ -sets given by $F \mapsto F(0)$.

The other way round let S be a $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ -set, and let $F_S: QC \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ be the functor defined by $F_S(X) = S$, $F_S(i_! j^!) = \text{multiplication by } [\ker j]$ on S . To see that this is indeed a functor, it suffices to show that $F_S(j^! i_!) = F_S(j^!)$. It holds $j^! i_! = i_! j_1^!$, where i_1 and j_1 are given by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \\ j_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y. \end{array}$$

It follows $F_S(j^! i_!) = F_S(i_! j_1^!) = [\ker j_1]$. Using the cartesian diagram one sees that $\ker j_1$ is isomorphic to $\ker j$. It is easy to verify that the two functors given are inverse to each other up to isomorphism, whence the theorem. \square

This theorem motivates the following definition,

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_{i+1}(BQC).$$

For a monoid A we let \mathcal{P} be the category of finitely generated pointed projective A -modules, or rather a small category equivalent to it, and we set

$$K_i^Q(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E}),$$

where \mathcal{E} is the class of sequences in \mathcal{P} which are strong exact in the category of all modules. These

sequences all split, which establishes the axioms for a quasi-exact category.

The two K -theories we have defined, do not coincide. For instance for the monoid of one generator $A = \{1, a\}$ with $a^2 = a$ one has

$$K_0^+(A) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_0^Q(A) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

The reason for this discrepancy is that $K_i^+(A)$ only depends on the group of units A^\times , but $K_i^Q(A)$ is sensible to the whole structure of A . So these two K -theories are unlikely to coincide except when A is a group, in which case they do, as the last theorem of this paper shows,

Theorem 5 *If A is an abelian group, then $K_i^+(A) = K_i^Q(A)$ for every $i \geq 0$.*

Proof: For a group each projective module is free, hence the proof of Grayson [3] of the corresponding fact for rings goes through. \square

So, if A is a group, this defines $K_i(A)$ unambiguously. In particular, computations of Priddy [8] show that $K_i(\mathbb{F}_1) = \pi_s^i$ is the i -th stable homotopy group of the spheres. Based on this, one can use the Q -construction to show that if A is an abelian group, then

$$K_i(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \times A & i = 0, \\ \pi_s^i & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

For an arbitrary monoid A we conclude that $K_i^+(A) = K_i^+(A^\times) = K_i(A^\times)$, which we now can express in terms of the stable homotopy groups π_s^i .

Further, for every A one has a canonical homomorphism $K_i^+(A) \rightarrow K_i^Q(A)$ given by the map $K^Q(A^\times) \rightarrow K^Q(A)$. The latter comes about by the fact that every projective A^\times -module is free. Note that general functoriality under monoid homomorphism is granted for K^+ , but not for K^Q . This contrasts the situation of rings, and has its reason in the fact that not every projective is a direct summand of a free module.

References

- [1] **Deitmar, A.:** *Schemes over \mathbb{F}_1* . in: Number Fields and Function Fields - Two Parallel Worlds. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 239 Geer, Gerard van der; Moonen, Ben J.J.; Schoof, Ren (Eds.) 2005. Mathematisches Institut Auf der Morgenstelle 10 72076 Tübingen Germany deitmar@uni-tuebingen.de
- [2] **Deitmar, A.:** *Homological algebra over be-
lian categories and cohomology of F_1 -schemes.*
<http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0508642>.
- [3] **Grayson, D.:** *Higher algebraic K -theory.*
II (after Daniel Quillen). Algebraic K -
theory (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ.,
Evanston, Ill., 1976), pp. 217–240. Lecture
Notes in Math., Vol. 551, Springer, Berlin,
1976.
- [4] **Kato, K.:** *Toric singularities.* Amer. J. Math.
116 (1994), no. 5, 1073–1099.
- [5] **Kurokawa, B.; Ochiai, H.; Wakayama, A.:**
Absolute Derivations and Zeta Functions. Doc-
umenta Aath. Extra Volume: Kazuya Kato's
Fiftieth Birthday (2003) 565–584.
- [6] **Kurokawa, N.:** *Zeta functions over F_1 .* Proc.
Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 81 (2005), no.
10, 180–184 (2006).
- [7] **Manin, Y.:** *Lectures on zeta functions and mo-
tives (according to Deninger and Kurokawa).*
Columbia University Number Theory Sem-
inar (New York, 1992). Astrisque No. 228, 4,
121–163 (1995).
- [8] **Priddy, S.:** *On $\Omega \langle \infty \rangle S \langle \infty \rangle$ and the infinite
symmetric group.* Algebraic topology (Proc.
Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXII, Univ. Wis-
consin, Madison, Wis., 1970), pp. 217–220.
Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971.
- [9] **Quillen, D.:** *Higher algebraic K -theory. I.*
Algebraic K -theory, I Higher K -theories
(Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seat-
tle, Wash., 1972), pp. 85–147. Lecture Notes
in Math., Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [10] **Soulé, C.:** *Les variétés sur le corps à un
élément.* Mosc. Math. J. 4, no. 1, 217–244, 312
(2004).
- [11] **Tits, J.:** *Sur les analogues algébriques des
groupes semi-simples complexes.* 1957 Col-
loque d'algèbre supérieure, Bruxelles du
19 au 22 décembre 1956 pp. 261–289 Cen-
tre Belge de Recherches Aathématiques
Établissements Ceuterick, Louvain; Li-
brairie Gauthier-Villars, Paris.